



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/730,110

12/09/2003

Takeshi Morikawa

032567-020

5434

21839 7590 03/19/2008
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC
POST OFFICE BOX 1404
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER

MILIA, MARK R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2625

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/19/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/730,110	Applicant(s) MORIKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner Mark R. Milia	Art Unit 2625	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 December 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 December 2007 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment was received on 12/10/07 and has been entered and made of record. Currently, claims 1-16 are pending.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on 12/10/07. These drawings are accepted.
The amendment to Fig. 1 has overcome the objection set forth in the previous Office Action. Therefore the objection has been withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 12/10/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that the combination of Salgado (US 6,504,621) and Mishima (JP 11-041429) fails to disclose activating a scanning job from an outside source and discriminating whether an activation instruction of the scanning job is made by an operation device of the image data processor or from an outside in cases where a request for processing the image data of the scanning job is made when the data of the

external job is being compressed or expanded. The examiner respectfully disagrees as the combination of Salgado and Mishima does disclose such features. Particularly, Salgado discloses a plurality of different job types, for example, copy/scan jobs, net print jobs, and fax jobs. The net print jobs are any jobs originating from the network service module (ESS) or network, such as a scanning job, which would then be a scanning job from an outside source (see column 11 lines 27-31 and 38-45 and column 12 lines 1-10). Salgado also discloses that job priority can be created specifically taking into account system resources. Thus, when a current job is utilizing a particular system resource and a new job is to be processed that needs the same system resource an algorithm is executed to determine the job with the highest priority and ultimately determines which job is to utilize the system resource (see column 16 line 61-column 17 line 32). Therefore, Salgado discloses the ability to activate a scanning job from an outside source and discriminating whether an activation instruction of the scanning job is made by an operation device of the image data processor or from an outside in cases where a request for processing the image data of the scanning job is made when the data of the external job is utilizing a particular system resource. Mishima discloses a system for performing compression/elongation including four compression/elongation processing sections. Mishima also discloses three modes in which the system executes, image input mode, copy mode, and printing mode). In image input mode, which performs only reading of the image data with the image reader section (scanner), all four compression/elongation processing sections are used for compression. In copy mode, two of the four compression/elongation processing sections are used for

compression. In print mode, all four compression/elongation processing sections are used for elongation (see paragraph 35 lines 1-10 and 34-37 and paragraph 36 lines 1-4). Therefore, based on the source of the image data and the type of processing, execution of the compression/expanding device is controlled appropriately. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Salgado and Mishima to arrive at the claimed invention because Salgado acknowledges that compression/decompression of image data is necessary in the processing of image data (see column 8 lines 45-49) and adjustments to the manner in which the compressing/decompressing devices operate are commonly implemented and at times necessary to successfully perform the interruption processing of image data, such as walk-up jobs like scanning/copying. Salgado also realizes the need to determine the source of a job to successfully determine priority and manage system resources. Mishima presents a method for such compression/decompression adjustments based on the image processing being performed.

Therefore the rejection set forth in the previous Office Action is maintained and repeated in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

5. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,504,621 to Salgado in view of Japanese Patent Document No. 11-041429 to Mishima as cited in the Information Disclosure Statement dated 10/28/05. Reference will be made to a machine translation that was furnished with the previous Office Action.

Regarding claim 1, Salgado discloses a data processing apparatus, comprising: an image reader for reading an original (see Fig. 1 and column 6 lines 6-12), a receiver capable of receiving an external job transmitted from an outside (see Figs. 1, 2, and 6-10 and column 6 lines 39-50), a transmitter capable of transmitting image data of the original read by said image reader as a scanning job to an outside (see column 6 lines 38-43), a print device for printing data of the external job received by said receiver (see Fig. 1 and column 6 lines 17-21), one or a plurality of compressing/expanding devices for compressing the image data of the scanning job or the data of the external job and expanding the compressed data (see column 8 lines 45-49), an operation device for instructing an activation of the scanning job in accordance with an operation of a user (see Fig. 7, column 6 lines 6-7, and column 10 line 45-column 11 line 6), and a controller that discriminates whether an activation instruction of the scanning job is made by said operation device or from an outside in cases where a request for processing the image data of the scanning job is made when the data of the external job is being processed, and controls execution of the external job and the scanning job depending on the discrimination result (see Figs. 8-10, column 10 line 45-column 11 line 6, column 11 lines 16-20, 29-31, and 40-42, column 13 lines 54-60, column 14 lines 47-

53, column 15 lines 64-67, column 16 lines 6-16 and 50-51, column 16 line 61-column 17 line 6, and column 17 lines 22-41).

Salgado does not disclose expressly a controller that discriminates whether an activation instruction of the scanning job is made by said operation device or from an outside in cases where a request for processing the image data of the scanning job by said one or a plurality of compressing/expanding devices is made when the data of the external job is being compressed or expanded by said one or a plurality of compressing/expanding devices, and controls execution of the external job and the scanning job by said one or a plurality of compressing/expanding devices depending on the discrimination result.

Mishima discloses a transmitter capable of transmitting image data of the original read by said image reader as a scanning job to an outside (see paragraph 18), a plurality of compressing/expanding devices for compressing the image data of the scanning job or the data of the external job and expanding the compressed data (see paragraphs 2, 7-9, 11-19, 35-36, and 45-46), and a controller that discriminates whether an activation instruction of the scanning job is made by said operation device or from an outside in cases where a request for processing the image data of the scanning job by said one or a plurality of compressing/expanding devices is made when the data of the external job is being compressed or expanded by said one or a plurality of compressing/expanding devices, and controls execution of the external job and the scanning job by said one or a plurality of compressing/expanding devices depending on the discrimination result (see paragraphs 11, 15, and 35-36).

Regarding claim 6, Salgado discloses a data processing method, comprising the steps of: reading an original by an image reader (see Fig. 1 and column 6 lines 6-12), receiving an external job transmitted from an outside (see Figs. 1, 2, and 6-10 and column 6 lines 39-50), transmitting image data of the original read by the image reader to an outside as a scanning job (see column 6 lines 38-43), printing received data of the external job (see Fig. 1 and column 6 lines 17-21), and discriminating whether an activation instruction of the scanning job is made by an operation device of its apparatus or from an outside when a request for processing data of the scanning job by one or a plurality of compressing/expanding devices when the data of the external job is currently being compressed or expanded by one or a plurality of compressing/expanding devices, and controls the execution of the external job and that of the scanning job by one or plurality of compressing/expanding devices depending on the discrimination result (see Figs. 8-10, column 10 line 45-column 11 line 6, column 11 lines 16-20, 29-31, and 40-42, column 13 lines 54-60, column 14 lines 47-53, column 15 lines 64-67, column 16 lines 6-16 and 50-51, column 16 line 61-column 17 line 6, and column 17 lines 22-41).

Salgado does not disclose expressly discriminating whether an activation instruction of the scanning job is made by an operation device or from an outside when a request for processing data of the scanning job by one or a plurality of compressing/expanding devices when the data of the external job is currently being compressed or expanded by one or a plurality of compressing/expanding devices, and controls execution of the external job and that of the scanning job by one or a plurality of compressing/expanding devices depending on the discrimination result.

Mishima discloses transmitting image data of the original read by the image reader to an outside as a scanning job (see paragraph 18), a plurality of compressing/expanding devices for compressing the image data and expanding the compressed data (see paragraphs 2, 7-9, 11-19, 35-36, and 45-46), discriminating whether an activation instruction of the scanning job is made by an operation device or from an outside when a request for processing data of the scanning job by one or a plurality of compressing/expanding devices when the data of the external job is currently being compressed or expanded by one or a plurality of compressing/expanding devices, and controls execution of the external job and that of the scanning job by one or a plurality of compressing/expanding devices depending on the discrimination result (see paragraphs 11, 15, and 35-36).

Regarding claim 11, Salgado discloses a data processing apparatus, comprising: an image reader for reading an original (see Fig. 1 and column 6 lines 6-12), a receiver capable of receiving an external job transmitted from an outside (see Figs. 1, 2, and 6-10 and column 6 lines 39-50), a transmitter capable of transmitting an image data of the original read by said image reader as a scanning job to an outside (see column 6 lines 38-43), a compressing/expanding device for compressing data and expanding the compressed data (see column 8 lines 45-49), an operation device for instructing an activation of the scanning job in accordance with an operation of a user (see Fig. 7, column 6 lines 6-7, and column 10 line 45-column 11 line 6), and a controller that discriminates whether the activation instruction of the scanning job is made by said operation device or from an outside in cases where a request for processing the image

data of the scanning job by said compressing/expanding device is made when data of the external job is currently being compressed or expanded by said compressing/expanding device, and controls execution of the external job and that of the scanning job by said compressing/expanding device depending on the discrimination result (see Figs. 8-10, column 10 line 45-column 11 line 6, column 11 lines 16-20, 29-31, and 40-42, column 13 lines 54-60, column 14 lines 47-53, column 15 lines 64-67, column 16 lines 6-16 and 50-51, column 16 line 61-column 17 line 6, and column 17 lines 22-41).

Salgado does not disclose expressly a controller that discriminates whether the activation instruction of the scanning job is made by said operation device or from an outside in cases where a request for processing the image data of the scanning job by said compressing/expanding device is made when the data of the external job is currently being compressed or expanded by said compressing/expanding device, and controls execution of the external job and the scanning job by said compressing/expanding device depending on the discrimination result.

Mishima discloses a transmitter capable of transmitting an image data of the original read by said image reader as a scanning job to an outside (see paragraph 18), a compressing/expanding device for compressing data and expanding the compressed data (see paragraphs 2, 7-9, 11-19, 35-36, and 45-46), and a controller that discriminates whether the activation instruction of the scanning job is made by said operation device or from an outside in cases where a request for processing the image data of the scanning job by said compressing/expanding device is made when data of

the external job is currently being compressed or expanded by said compressing/expanding device, and controls execution of the external job and that of the scanning job by said compressing/expanding device depending on the discrimination result (see paragraphs 11, 15, and 35-36).

Salgado & Mishima are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, efficient processing of image data in a faster and more cost-effective manner.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the use of plural compressing/expanding devices to process image data parallelly and adjusting image data routing to the compressing/expanding devices depending on the type of image process being performed, as described by Mishima, with the system of Salgado.

Salgado acknowledges that compression/decompression of image data is necessary in the processing of image data (see column 8 lines 45-49) and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that in executing the invention set forth by Salgado that adjustments to the manner in which the compressing/decompressing devices operate are needed to successfully perform the interruption processing of image data, such as walk-up jobs like scanning/copying. Mishima presents a method for such compression/decompression adjustments based on the image processing being performed.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Mishima with Salgado to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1, 6, and 11.

Regarding claims 2, 7, and 12, Mishima further discloses wherein said controller makes said one or plurality of compressing/expanding devices execute processing of the external job and that of the scanning job in parallel when it is discriminated that the activation instruction of the scanning job is made by said operation device (see paragraphs 11, 15, and 35-36).

Regarding claims 3, 8, and 13, Mishima further discloses wherein said controller makes said one or plurality of compressing/expanding devices execute the processing of the external job and that of the scanning job in parallel by switching the processing of the external job and that of the scanning job in turn (see paragraphs 11, 15, and 35-36).

Regarding claims 4, 9, and 14, Mishima further discloses wherein said controller assigns at least one of said plurality of compressing/expanding devices to the processing of the external job and that of the scanning job, respectively, to thereby execute these processing in parallel (see paragraphs 11, 15, and 35-36).

Regarding claims 5, 10, and 15, Mishima further discloses wherein said controller makes said one or plurality of compressing/expanding devices execute the processing of the scanning job after a completion of the processing of the external job when it is discriminated that the activation instruction of the scanning job is made from an outside (see paragraphs 11, 15, and 35-36).

Regarding claim 16, Salgado further discloses a print device for printing the data of the external job received by said receiver (see Fig. 1 and column 6 lines 17-21).

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark R. Milia whose telephone number is (571)272-7408. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached on (571) 272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark R. Milia
Examiner
Art Unit 2625

/Mark R. Milia/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/David K Moore/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625